Message

From: Stoker, Michael B. [stoker.michael@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/14/2018 8:35:10 PM

To: Manzanilla, Enrique [Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]

CC: Jordan, Deborah [Jordan.Deborah@epa.gov]; Miller, Amy [Miller.Amy@epa.gov]; Herrera, Angeles

[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]; Maldonado,

Lewis [Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov]; Hage, Christopher [hage.christopher@epa.gov]; Butler, Thomas

[Butler.Thomas@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: Yesterday's meeting with Mayor Breed and Supervisor Cohen, City and County of San Francisco re Hunters Point

Thx for the update Enrique.

Michael Stoker EPA Regional Administrator-Region 9 Cell (213) 215-3104

On Aug 14, 2018, at 8:12 AM, Manzanilla, Enrique < Manzanilla. Enrique@epa.gov> wrote:

Hello Mike:

I wanted to give you and others a very quick rundown on yesterday's meeting with the Mayor and Supervisor.

The Navy reported out on their progress to date and the elements of the Parcel G workplan which is currently out for public review. (We will be providing our comments later this afternoon on the Navy's Parcel G workplan under Angeles' signature.) The meeting quickly turned to a discussion of our differences with the Navy's sampling and data evaluation approach and I gave the City a short summary of our approach and our rationale for that approach. I made clear it was completely consistent with what we have been providing to the Navy over the last several months, so no surprises from EPA. Supervisor Cohen pressed the Navy on their approach and why they weren't agreeing with EPA. City elected officials are concerned about the lack of resolution between us and the Navy. The Mayor insisted on the Navy stepping up their community engagement activities. They will need to integrate commitments out of the Pelosi meeting into this effort.

CA DPH provided an update on their scanning of Parcel A - nothing of concern has been detected.

Again, we will be sending out our comments on the Navy's Parcel G workplan later today. I decided it would be procedurally beneficial for the comments to go out under Angeles signature to allow for additional discussion (and potential agreement) between me and my Navy counterpart, as the first level dispute officials, once the Navy addresses our and the public's comments and re-issues a draft final Parcel G workplan.

Feel free to call me if you have any further questions about yesterday's meeting with the City of San Francisco.

Enrique